



MASSACHUSETTS

Blue Cross Blue Shield of Massachusetts is an Independent Licensee of the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Association

Medical Policy

Noninvasive Techniques for the Evaluation and Monitoring of Patients with Chronic Liver Disease

Table of Contents

- [Policy: Commercial](#)
- [Coding Information](#)
- [Information Pertaining to All Policies](#)
- [Policy: Medicare](#)
- [Description](#)
- [References](#)
- [Authorization Information](#)
- [Policy History](#)

Policy Number: 921

BCBSA Reference Number: 2.04.41 (For Plan internal use only)

NCD/LCD: N/A

Related Policies

None

Policy

Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, and Indemnity Medicare HMO BlueSM and Medicare PPO BlueSM Members

A single FibroSURE multianalyte assay may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the evaluation of individuals with chronic liver disease.

FibroSURE multianalyte assays are considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease.

Other multianalyte assays with algorithmic analyses are considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for the evaluation or monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease.

Transient elastography (FibroScan) imaging may be considered **MEDICALLY NECESSARY** for the evaluation of individuals with chronic liver disease.

Transient elastography (FibroScan) imaging is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease.

The use of other noninvasive imaging, including but not limited to, acoustic radiation force impulse imaging (ARFI; eg, Acuson S2000), or real-time tissue elastography, is considered **INVESTIGATIONAL** for the evaluation or monitoring of individuals with chronic liver disease.

Prior Authorization Information

Inpatient

- For services described in this policy, precertification/preauthorization **IS REQUIRED** for all products if the procedure is performed **inpatient**.

Outpatient

- For services described in this policy, see below for products where prior authorization **might be required** if the procedure is performed **outpatient**.

	Outpatient
Commercial Managed Care (HMO and POS)	Prior authorization is not required .
Commercial PPO and Indemnity	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare HMO BlueSM	Prior authorization is not required .
Medicare PPO BlueSM	Prior authorization is not required .

CPT Codes / HCPCS Codes / ICD Codes

Inclusion or exclusion of a code does not constitute or imply member coverage or provider reimbursement. Please refer to the member's contract benefits in effect at the time of service to determine coverage or non-coverage as it applies to an individual member.

Providers should report all services using the most up-to-date industry-standard procedure, revenue, and diagnosis codes, including modifiers where applicable.

The following codes are included below for informational purposes only; this is not an all-inclusive list.

The above medical necessity criteria MUST be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
91200	Liver elastography, mechanically induced shear wave (eg, vibration), without imaging, with interpretation and report

The above medical necessity criteria MUST be met for the following codes to be covered for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
0002M	Liver disease, 10 biochemical assays (ALT, A2-macroglobulin, apolipoprotein A1, total bilirubin, GGT, haptoglobin, AST, glucose, total cholesterol and triglycerides) utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as quantitative scores for fibrosis, steatosis, and alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH)
0003M	Liver disease, 10 biochemical assays (ALT, A2-macroglobulin, apolipoprotein A1, total bilirubin, GGT, haptoglobin, AST, glucose, total cholesterol and triglycerides) utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as quantitative scores for fibrosis, steatosis, and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)
81596	Infectious disease, chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection, six biochemical assays (ALT, A2-macroglobulin, apolipoprotein A-1, total bilirubin, GGT, and haptoglobin) utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as scores for fibrosis and necroinflammatory activity in liver

The following ICD Diagnosis Codes are considered medically necessary when submitted with the CPT codes above if medical necessity criteria are met:

ICD-10 Diagnosis Codes

ICD-10-CM Diagnosis codes:	Code Description
B18.0	Chronic viral hepatitis B with delta-agent
B18.1	Chronic viral hepatitis B without delta-agent
B18.2	Chronic viral hepatitis C
B18.8	Other chronic viral hepatitis
B18.9	Chronic viral hepatitis, unspecified
B19.0	Unspecified viral hepatitis with hepatic coma
B19.10	Unspecified viral hepatitis B without hepatic coma
B19.11	Unspecified viral hepatitis B with hepatic coma
B19.20	Unspecified viral hepatitis C without hepatic coma
B19.21	Unspecified viral hepatitis C with hepatic coma
B19.9	Unspecified viral hepatitis without hepatic coma
K70.0	Alcoholic fatty liver
K70.10	Alcoholic hepatitis without ascites
K70.11	Alcoholic hepatitis with ascites
K70.2	Alcoholic fibrosis and sclerosis of liver
K70.30	Alcoholic cirrhosis of liver without ascites
K70.31	Alcoholic cirrhosis of liver with ascites
K70.40	Alcoholic hepatic failure without coma
K70.41	Alcoholic hepatic failure with coma
K70.9	Alcoholic liver disease, unspecified
K71.0	Toxic liver disease with cholestasis
K71.10	Toxic liver disease with hepatic necrosis, without coma
K71.11	Toxic liver disease with hepatic necrosis, with coma
K71.2	Toxic liver disease with acute hepatitis
K71.3	Toxic liver disease with chronic persistent hepatitis
K71.4	Toxic liver disease with chronic lobular hepatitis
K71.50	Toxic liver disease with chronic active hepatitis without ascites
K71.51	Toxic liver disease with chronic active hepatitis with ascites
K71.6	Toxic liver disease with hepatitis, not elsewhere classified
K71.7	Toxic liver disease with fibrosis and cirrhosis of liver
K71.8	Toxic liver disease with other disorders of liver
K71.9	Toxic liver disease, unspecified
K72.00	Acute and subacute hepatic failure without coma
K72.01	Acute and subacute hepatic failure with coma
K72.10	Chronic hepatic failure without coma
K72.11	Chronic hepatic failure with coma
K72.90	Hepatic failure, unspecified without coma
K72.91	Hepatic failure, unspecified with coma
K73.0	Chronic persistent hepatitis, not elsewhere classified
K73.1	Chronic lobular hepatitis, not elsewhere classified

K73.2	Chronic active hepatitis, not elsewhere classified
K73.8	Other chronic hepatitis, not elsewhere classified
K73.9	Chronic hepatitis, unspecified
K74.00	Hepatic fibrosis, unspecified
K74.01	Hepatic fibrosis, early fibrosis
K74.02	Hepatic fibrosis, advanced fibrosis
K74.1	Hepatic sclerosis
K74.2	Hepatic fibrosis with hepatic sclerosis
K74.3	Primary biliary cirrhosis
K74.4	Secondary biliary cirrhosis
K74.5	Biliary cirrhosis, unspecified
K74.60	Unspecified cirrhosis of liver
K74.69	Other cirrhosis of liver
K75.0	Abscess of liver
K75.1	Phlebitis of portal vein
K75.2	Nonspecific reactive hepatitis
K75.3	Granulomatous hepatitis, not elsewhere classified
K75.4	Autoimmune hepatitis
K75.81	Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH)
K75.89	Other specified inflammatory liver diseases
K75.9	Inflammatory liver disease, unspecified
K76.0	Fatty (change of) liver, not elsewhere classified
K76.1	Chronic passive congestion of liver
K76.2	Central hemorrhagic necrosis of liver
K76.3	Infarction of liver
K76.4	Peliosis hepatis
K76.5	Hepatic veno-occlusive disease
K76.6	Portal hypertension
K76.7	Hepatorenal syndrome
K76.81	Hepatopulmonary syndrome
K76.82	Hepatic encephalopathy
K76.89	Other specified diseases of liver
K76.9	Liver disease, unspecified
K77	Liver disorders in diseases classified elsewhere

According to the policy statement above, the following CPT codes are considered investigational for the conditions listed for Commercial Members: Managed Care (HMO and POS), PPO, Indemnity, Medicare HMO Blue and Medicare PPO Blue:

CPT Codes

CPT codes:	Code Description
76981	Ultrasound, elastography; parenchyma (eg, organ)
76982	Ultrasound, elastography; first target lesion
76983	Ultrasound, elastography; each additional target lesion (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)
81517	Liver disease, analysis of 3 biomarkers (hyaluronic acid [HA], procollagen III amino terminal peptide [PIIINP], tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1 [TIMP-1]), using

	immunoassays, utilizing serum, prognostic algorithm reported as a risk score and risk of liver fibrosis and liver-related clinical events within 5 years
--	--

DESCRIPTION

Biopsy for Chronic Liver Disease

The diagnosis of non-neoplastic liver disease is often made from needle biopsy samples. In addition to establishing a disease etiology, liver biopsy can determine the degree of inflammation present and stage the degree of fibrosis. The degree of inflammation and fibrosis may be assessed by different scoring schemes. Most of these scoring schemes grade inflammation from 0 (no or minimal inflammation) to 4 (severe) and fibrosis from 0 (no fibrosis) to 4 (cirrhosis). There are several limitations to liver biopsy, including its invasive nature, small tissue sample size, and subjective grading system. Regarding small tissue sample size, liver fibrosis can be patchy and thus missed on a biopsy sample, which includes only 0.002% of the liver tissue. A noninvasive alternative to liver biopsy would be particularly helpful, both to initially assess patients and then to monitor response to therapy. The implications of using liver biopsy as a reference standard are discussed in the Rationale.

Hepatitis C Virus

Infection with hepatitis C virus (HCV) can lead to permanent liver damage. Prior to noninvasive testing, liver biopsy was typically recommended before the initiation of antiviral therapy. Repeat biopsies may be performed to monitor fibrosis progression. Liver biopsies are analyzed according to a histologic scoring system; the most commonly used one for HCV is the Metavir system, which scores the presence and degree of inflammatory activity and fibrosis. The fibrosis is graded from F0 to F4, with a Metavir score of F0 signifying no fibrosis and F4 signifying cirrhosis (which is defined as the presence throughout the liver of fibrous septa that subdivide the liver parenchyma into nodules, representing the final and irreversible form of the disease). The stage of fibrosis is the most important single predictor of morbidity and mortality in patients with hepatitis C. Biopsies for HCV are also evaluated according to the degree of inflammation present, referred to as the grade or activity level. For example, the Metavir system includes scores for necroinflammatory activity ranging from A0 to A3 (A0 = no activity, A1 = minimal activity, A2 = moderate activity, A3 = severe activity).

Hepatitis B Virus

Most people who become infected with hepatitis B virus (HBV) recover fully, but a small portion develops chronic HBV, which can lead to permanent liver damage. As with HCV, identification of liver fibrosis is needed to determine timing and management of treatment, and liver biopsy is the criterion standard for staging fibrosis. The grading of fibrosis in HBV also uses the Metavir system.

Alcoholic Liver Disease

Alcoholic liver disease (ALD) is the leading cause of liver disease in most Western countries. Histologic features of ALD usually include steatosis, alcoholic steatohepatitis (ASH), hepatocyte necrosis, Mallory bodies (tangled proteins seen in degenerating hepatocytes), a large polymorphonuclear inflammatory infiltrate, and, with continued alcohol abuse, fibrosis, and possibly cirrhosis. The grading of fibrosis is similar to the scoring system used in HCV. The commonly used Laënnec scoring system uses grades 0 to 4, with 4 being cirrhosis.

Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease

Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is defined as a condition that pathologically resembles ALD, but occurs in patients who are not heavy users of alcohol. Moreover, NAFLD may be associated with a variety of conditions, including obesity, diabetes, and dyslipidemia. The characteristic feature of NAFLD is steatosis. At the benign end of the disease spectrum, there is usually no appreciable inflammation, hepatocyte death, or fibrosis. In contrast, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), which shows overlapping histologic features with ALD, is an intermediate form of liver damage, and liver biopsy may show steatosis, Mallory bodies, focal inflammation, and degenerating hepatocytes. NASH can progress to fibrosis and cirrhosis. A variety of histologic scoring systems have been used to evaluate NAFLD. The NAFLD Activity Score system for NASH includes scores for steatosis (0 to 3), lobular inflammation (0 to

3), and ballooning (0 to 2). Cases with scores of 5 or greater are considered NASH, while cases with scores of 3 and 4 are considered borderline (probable or possible) NASH. The grading of fibrosis is similar to the scoring system used in hepatitis C. The commonly used Laënnec scoring system uses grades 0 to 4, with 4 being cirrhosis.

Noninvasive Alternatives to Liver Biopsy

Multianalyte Assays

A variety of noninvasive laboratory tests are being evaluated as alternatives to liver biopsy. Biochemical tests can be broadly categorized into indirect and direct markers of liver fibrosis. Indirect markers include liver function tests such as alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), the ALT/AST ratio (also referred to as the AAR), platelet count, and prothrombin index. There has been a growing understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of fibrosis, leading to a direct measurement of the factors involved. For example, the central event in the pathophysiology of fibrosis is the activation of the hepatic stellate cell. Normally, stellate cells are quiescent, but are activated in the setting of liver injury, producing a variety of extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins. In normal livers, the rate of ECM production equals its degradation, but with fibrosis, production exceeds degradation. Metalloproteinases are involved in intracellular degradation of ECM, and a profibrogenic state exists when there is either a down-regulation of metalloproteinases or an increase in tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases. Both metalloproteinases and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases can be measured in the serum, which directly reflects the fibrotic activity. Other direct measures of ECM deposition include hyaluronic acid or α_2 -macroglobulin.

While many studies have been done on these individual markers, or on groups of markers in different populations of patients with liver disease, there has been interest in analyzing multiple markers using mathematical algorithms to generate a score that categorizes patients according to the biopsy score. It is proposed that these algorithms can be used as alternatives to liver biopsy in patients with liver disease. The following proprietary, algorithm-based tests are commercially available in the U.S.

FibroSURE

There are 3 different FibroSURE tests available depending on the indication for use: HCV FibroSURE, ASH FibroSURE, and NASH FibroSURE.

HCV FibroSURE

The HCV FibroSURE uses a combination of 6 serum biochemical indirect markers of liver function plus age and sex in a patented algorithm to generate a measure of fibrosis and necroinflammatory activity in the liver that corresponds to the Metavir scoring system for stage (ie, fibrosis) and grade (ie, necroinflammatory activity). The measures are combined using a linear regression equation to produce a score between 0 and 1, with higher values corresponding to more severe disease. The biochemical markers include the readily available measurements of α_2 -macroglobulin, haptoglobin, bilirubin, γ -glutamyl transpeptidase, ALT, and apolipoprotein AI. Developed in France, the test has been clinically available in Europe under the name FibroTest since 2003; it is exclusively offered by LabCorp in the U.S. as HCV FibroSURE.

ASH FibroSURE

ASH FibroSURE (ASH Test) uses a combination of 10 serum biochemical markers of liver function together with age, sex, height, and weight in a proprietary algorithm; the test is proposed to provide surrogate markers for liver fibrosis, hepatic steatosis, and ASH. The biochemical markers include α_2 -macroglobulin, haptoglobin, apolipoprotein AI, bilirubin, γ -glutamyl transpeptidase, ALT, AST, total cholesterol, triglycerides, and fasting glucose. The test has been available in Europe under the name AshTest™ (BioPredictive); the test is exclusively offered by LabCorp in the U.S. as ASH FibroSURE.

NASH FibroSURE

NASH FibroSURE (NASH Test) uses a proprietary algorithm of the same 10 biochemical markers of liver function in combination with age, sex, height, and weight and is proposed to provide surrogate markers for liver fibrosis, hepatic steatosis, and NASH. The biochemical markers include α_2 -macroglobulin, haptoglobin, apolipoprotein AI, bilirubin, γ -glutamyl transpeptidase, ALT, AST, total cholesterol, triglycerides, and fasting glucose. The test has been available in Europe under the name NashTest™ (BioPredictive); the test is exclusively offered by LabCorp in the U.S. as NASH FibroSURE.

FIBROSpect II

FIBROSpect II uses a combination of 3 markers that directly measure fibrogenesis of the liver, analyzed with a patented algorithm. The markers include hyaluronic acid, tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase 1, and α_2 -macroglobulin. FIBROSpect II is offered exclusively by Prometheus Laboratories. The measures are combined using a logistic regression algorithm to generate a FIBROSpect II index score, ranging from 1 to 100 (or sometimes reported between 0 and 1), with higher scores indicating more severe disease.

Noninvasive Imaging Technologies

Noninvasive imaging technologies to detect liver fibrosis or cirrhosis among patients with chronic liver disease are being evaluated as alternatives to liver biopsy. The noninvasive imaging technologies include transient elastography (eg, FibroScan), magnetic resonance elastography, acoustic radiation force impulse (ARFI) imaging (eg, Acuson S2000), multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and real-time tissue elastography (eg, HI VISION Preirus). Noninvasive imaging tests have been used in combination with multianalyte serum tests such as FibroTest or FibroSURE with FibroScan.

Transient Elastography

Transient elastography (FibroScan) uses a mechanical vibrator to produce mild amplitude and low-frequency (50 Hz) waves, inducing an elastic shear wave that propagates throughout the liver. Ultrasound tracks the wave, measuring its speed in kilopascals, which correlates with liver stiffness. Increases in liver fibrosis also increase liver stiffness and resistance of liver blood flow. Transient elastography does not perform as well in patients with ascites, higher body mass index, or narrow intercostal margins. Although FibroScan may be used to measure fibrosis (unlike liver biopsy), it does not provide information on necroinflammatory activity and steatosis, nor is it accurate during acute hepatitis or hepatitis exacerbations.

ARFI Imaging

ARFI imaging uses an ultrasound probe to produce an acoustic “push” pulse, which generates shear waves that propagate in tissue to assess liver stiffness. ARFI elastography evaluates the wave propagation speed (measured in meters per second) to assess liver stiffness. The faster the shear wave speed, the harder the object. ARFI technologies include Virtual Touch Quantification and Siemens Acuson S2000 system. ARFI elastography can be performed at the same time as a liver sonographic evaluation, even in patients with a significant amount of ascites.

Magnetic Resonance Elastography

Magnetic resonance elastography uses a driver to generate 60-Hz mechanical waves on the patient’s chest wall. The magnetic resonance equipment creates elastograms by processing the acquired images of propagating shear waves in the liver using an inversion algorithm. These elastograms represent the shear stiffness as a pixel value in kilopascals. Magnetic resonance elastography has several advantages over ultrasound elastography, including: (1) the ability to analyze larger liver volumes; (2) the ability to analyze liver volumes of obese patients or patients with ascites; and (3) the ability to precisely analyze viscoelasticity using a 3-dimensional displacement vector.

Real-Time Tissue Elastography

Real-time tissue elastography is a type of strain elastography that uses a combined autocorrelation method to measure tissue strain caused by manual compression or a person’s heartbeat. The relative tissue strain is displayed on conventional color B mode ultrasound images in real-time. Hitachi manufactures real-time tissue elastography devices, including the HI VISION Preirus. The challenge is to identify a region of interest while avoiding areas likely to introduce artifacts, such as large blood vessels,

the area near the ribs, and the surface of the liver. Areas of low strain increase as fibrosis progresses and strain distribution becomes more complex. Various subjective and quantitative methods have been developed to evaluate the results. Real-time tissue elastography can be performed in patients with ascites or inflammation. This technology does not perform as well in severely obese individuals.

Summary

Noninvasive techniques to monitor liver fibrosis are being investigated as alternatives to liver biopsy in patients with chronic liver disease. There are 2 options for noninvasive monitoring: (1) multianalyte serum assays with algorithmic analysis of either direct or indirect biomarkers; and (2) specialized radiologic methods, including magnetic resonance elastography, multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), transient elastography, acoustic radiation force impulse imaging, and real-time transient elastography.

Multianalyte Serum Assays

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive FibroSURE serum panels, the evidence includes systematic reviews of more than 30 observational studies (>5000 patients). Relevant outcomes are test validity, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. FibroSURE has been studied in populations with viral hepatitis, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NALFD), and alcoholic liver disease (ALD). There are established cutoffs, although they were not consistently used in validation studies. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it is difficult to interpret performance characteristics. However, for the purposes of deciding whether a patient has severe fibrosis or cirrhosis, FibroSURE results provide data sufficiently useful to determine therapy. Specifically, FibroSURE has been used as an alternative to biopsy to establish eligibility regarding the presence of fibrosis or cirrhosis in several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that showed the efficacy of hepatitis C virus (HCV) treatments, which in turn demonstrated that the test can identify patients who would benefit from therapy. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive multianalyte serum assays for liver function assessment other than FibroSURE, the evidence includes a number of observational studies and systematic reviews of those studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Studies have frequently included varying cutoffs, some of which were standardized and others not validated. Cutoff thresholds have often been modified over time, may be specific to certain patient populations, and in some cases, guideline recommendations differ from cutoffs designated by manufacturers and those utilized in studies. A comparison of transient elastography to various serum-based tests found that the former was superior in detecting fibrosis, and a meta-analysis of 4 studies found higher multianalyte scores associated with an increased risk of mortality relative to lower scores, but the evidence is limited by the small number of included studies and high heterogeneity and imprecision for some estimates. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it is difficult to interpret performance characteristics. There is no direct evidence that other multianalyte serum assays improve health outcomes; further, it is not possible to construct a chain of evidence for clinical utility due to the lack of sufficient evidence on clinical validity. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Noninvasive Imaging

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive transient elastography, the evidence includes many systematic reviews of more than 50 observational studies (>10,000 patients). Relevant outcomes are test validity, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Transient elastography (FibroScan) has been studied in populations with viral hepatitis, NALFD, and ALD. There are varying cutoffs for positivity. Failures of the test are not uncommon, particularly for those with high body mass index, but these failures often went undetected in analyses of the validation studies. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it can be difficult to interpret performance characteristics. However, for the purposes of deciding whether a patient has severe fibrosis or cirrhosis, the FibroScan results provide data sufficiently useful to determine therapy. In fact, FibroScan has been used as an alternative to biopsy to establish eligibility regarding the presence of fibrosis or cirrhosis in the participants of several RCTs.

These trials showed the efficacy of HCV treatments, which in turn demonstrated that the test can identify patients who would benefit from therapy. The evidence is sufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have chronic liver disease who receive noninvasive radiologic methods other than transient elastography for liver fibrosis measurement, the evidence includes systematic reviews of observational studies. Relevant outcomes are test validity, morbid events, and treatment-related morbidity. Other radiologic methods (eg, magnetic resonance elastography [MRE], real-time transient elastography [RTE], acoustic radiation force impulse imaging [ARFI] imaging) may have similar performance for detecting significant fibrosis or cirrhosis. Studies have frequently included varying cutoffs not prespecified or validated. Given these limitations and the imperfect reference standard, it is difficult to interpret performance characteristics. There is no direct evidence that other noninvasive radiologic methods improve health outcomes; further, it is not possible to construct a chain of evidence for clinical utility due to the lack of sufficient evidence on clinical validity. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Policy History

Date	Action
1/2024	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged. Clarified coding information.
3/2023	Clarified coding information.
1/2023	Annual policy review. References updated. Minor editorial refinements to policy statements; intent unchanged.
1/2022	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
1/2021	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged.
6/2020	Clarified coding information.
1/2020	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged. Clarified coding information.
12/2019	Code 76391 Magnetic resonance (eg, vibration) elastography removed. Effective 12/9/2019.
1/2019	Annual policy review. Description, summary, and references updated. Policy statements unchanged. Clarified coding information.
1/2018	Annual policy review. New references added.
10/2017	Clarified coding information.
5/2017	Annual policy review. New medically necessary and investigational indications described. New references added. Effective 5/1/2017.
8/2015	Annual policy review. Policy title changed from “Multianalyte Assays with Algorithmic Analysis for the Evaluation and Monitoring of Patients With Chronic Liver Disease” to “Non-Invasive Techniques for the Evaluation and Monitoring of Patients With Chronic Liver Disease.” New investigational indications described. Clarified coding information. Effective 8/1/2015.
9/2014	Annual policy review. New references added.
10/2013	Annual policy review. New references added.
3/2013	New policy describing non-coverage. Effective 3/1/2013.

Information Pertaining to All Blue Cross Blue Shield Medical Policies

Click on any of the following terms to access the relevant information:

[Medical Policy Terms of Use](#)

[Managed Care Guidelines](#)

[Indemnity/PPO Guidelines](#)

[Clinical Exception Process](#)

[Medical Technology Assessment Guidelines](#)

References

1. Regev A, Berho M, Jeffers LJ, et al. Sampling error and intraobserver variation in liver biopsy in patients with chronic HCV infection. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Oct 2002; 97(10): 2614-8. PMID 12385448
2. Rockey DC, Caldwell SH, Goodman ZD, et al. Liver biopsy. *Hepatology*. Mar 2009; 49(3): 1017-44. PMID 19243014
3. Mehta SH, Lau B, Afdhal NH, et al. Exceeding the limits of liver histology markers. *J Hepatol*. Jan 2009; 50(1): 36-41. PMID 19012989
4. Trikalinos TA, Balion CM. Chapter 9: options for summarizing medical test performance in the absence of a "gold standard". *J Gen Intern Med*. Jun 2012; 27 Suppl 1(Suppl 1): S67-75. PMID 22648677
5. Crossan C, Tsochatzis EA, Longworth L, et al. Cost-effectiveness of non-invasive methods for assessment and monitoring of liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic liver disease: systematic review and economic evaluation. *Health Technol Assess*. Jan 2015; 19(9): 1-409, v-vi. PMID 25633908
6. Houot M, Ngo Y, Munteanu M, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: direct comparisons of biomarkers for the diagnosis of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C and B. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Jan 2016; 43(1): 16-29. PMID 26516104
7. Imbert-Bismut F, Ratziu V, Pieroni L, et al. Biochemical markers of liver fibrosis in patients with hepatitis C virus infection: a prospective study. *Lancet*. Apr 07 2001; 357(9262): 1069-75. PMID 11297957
8. Poynard T, McHutchison J, Manns M, et al. Biochemical surrogate markers of liver fibrosis and activity in a randomized trial of peginterferon alfa-2b and ribavirin. *Hepatology*. Aug 2003; 38(2): 481-92. PMID 12883493
9. Poynard T, Munteanu M, Imbert-Bismut F, et al. Prospective analysis of discordant results between biochemical markers and biopsy in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Clin Chem*. Aug 2004; 50(8): 1344-55. PMID 15192028
10. Afdhal NH, Nunes D. Evaluation of liver fibrosis: a concise review. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jun 2004; 99(6): 1160-74. PMID 15180741
11. Lichtiginghagen R, Bahr MJ. Noninvasive diagnosis of fibrosis in chronic liver disease. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn*. Sep 2004; 4(5): 715-26. PMID 15347264
12. Afdhal N, Reddy KR, Nelson DR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for previously treated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med*. Apr 17 2014; 370(16): 1483-93. PMID 24725238
13. Afdhal N, Zeuzem S, Kwo P, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for untreated HCV genotype 1 infection. *N Engl J Med*. May 15 2014; 370(20): 1889-98. PMID 24725239
14. Curry MP, O'Leary JG, Bzowej N, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV in Patients with Decompensated Cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 31 2015; 373(27): 2618-28. PMID 26569658
15. Foster GR, Afdhal N, Roberts SK, et al. Sofosbuvir and Velpatasvir for HCV Genotype 2 and 3 Infection. *N Engl J Med*. Dec 31 2015; 373(27): 2608-17. PMID 26575258
16. Kowdley KV, Gordon SC, Reddy KR, et al. Ledipasvir and sofosbuvir for 8 or 12 weeks for chronic HCV without cirrhosis. *N Engl J Med*. May 15 2014; 370(20): 1879-88. PMID 24720702
17. Zeuzem S, Dusheiko GM, Salupere R, et al. Sofosbuvir and ribavirin in HCV genotypes 2 and 3. *N Engl J Med*. May 22 2014; 370(21): 1993-2001. PMID 24795201
18. Naveau S, Raynard B, Ratziu V, et al. Biomarkers for the prediction of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic alcoholic liver disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Feb 2005; 3(2): 167-74. PMID 15704051
19. Ratziu V, Massard J, Charlotte F, et al. Diagnostic value of biochemical markers (FibroTest-FibroSURE) for the prediction of liver fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMC Gastroenterol*. Feb 14 2006; 6: 6. PMID 16503961
20. Lassailly G, Caiazzo R, Hollebecque A, et al. Validation of noninvasive biomarkers (FibroTest, SteatoTest, and NashTest) for prediction of liver injury in patients with morbid obesity. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Jun 2011; 23(6): 499-506. PMID 21499110
21. Poynard T, Ratziu V, Charlotte F, et al. Diagnostic value of biochemical markers (NashTest) for the prediction of non alcoholic steato hepatitis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *BMC Gastroenterol*. Nov 10 2006; 6: 34. PMID 17096854
22. Mohamadnejad M, Montazeri G, Fazlollahi A, et al. Noninvasive markers of liver fibrosis and inflammation in chronic hepatitis B-virus related liver disease. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Nov 2006; 101(11): 2537-45. PMID 17029616

23. Zeng MD, Lu LG, Mao YM, et al. Prediction of significant fibrosis in HBeAg-positive patients with chronic hepatitis B by a noninvasive model. *Hepatology*. Dec 2005; 42(6): 1437-45. PMID 16317674
24. Park MS, Kim BK, Cheong JY, et al. Discordance between liver biopsy and FibroTest in assessing liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(2): e55759. PMID 23405210
25. Salkic NN, Jovanovic P, Hauser G, et al. FibroTest/Fibrosure for significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in chronic hepatitis B: a meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Jun 2014; 109(6): 796-809. PMID 24535095
26. Xu XY, Kong H, Song RX, et al. The effectiveness of noninvasive biomarkers to predict hepatitis B-related significant fibrosis and cirrhosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of diagnostic test accuracy. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(6): e100182. PMID 24964038
27. Wai CT, Cheng CL, Wee A, et al. Non-invasive models for predicting histology in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Liver Int*. Aug 2006; 26(6): 666-72. PMID 16842322
28. Patel K, Gordon SC, Jacobson I, et al. Evaluation of a panel of non-invasive serum markers to differentiate mild from moderate-to-advanced liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C patients. *J Hepatol*. Dec 2004; 41(6): 935-42. PMID 15582126
29. Mehta P, Ploutz-Snyder R, Nandi J, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of serum hyaluronic acid, FIBROSpect II, and YKL-40 for discriminating fibrosis stages in chronic hepatitis C. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Apr 2008; 103(4): 928-36. PMID 18371145
30. Patel K, Nelson DR, Rockey DC, et al. Correlation of FIBROSpect II with histologic and morphometric evaluation of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Feb 2008; 6(2): 242-7. PMID 18187364
31. Snyder N, Nguyen A, Gajula L, et al. The APRI may be enhanced by the use of the FIBROSpect II in the estimation of fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Clin Chim Acta*. Jun 2007; 381(2): 119-23. PMID 17442291
32. Castellana M, Donghia R, Guerra V, et al. Fibrosis-4 Index vs Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Fibrosis Score in Identifying Advanced Fibrosis in Subjects With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Meta-Analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Sep 01 2021; 116(9): 1833-1841. PMID 34160377
33. Mózes FE, Lee JA, Selvaraj EA, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of non-invasive tests for advanced fibrosis in patients with NAFLD: an individual patient data meta-analysis. *Gut*. May 2022; 71(5): 1006-1019. PMID 34001645
34. Sharma C, Cococcia S, Ellis N, et al. Systematic review: Accuracy of the enhanced liver fibrosis test for diagnosing advanced liver fibrosis and cirrhosis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Jul 2021; 36(7): 1788-1802. PMID 33668077
35. Mózes FE, Lee JA, Vali Y, et al. Performance of non-invasive tests and histology for the prediction of clinical outcomes in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: an individual participant data meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Aug 2023; 8(8): 704-713. PMID 37290471
36. Wai CT, Greenson JK, Fontana RJ, et al. A simple noninvasive index can predict both significant fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology*. Aug 2003; 38(2): 518-26. PMID 12883497
37. Giannini EG, Zaman A, Ceppa P, et al. A simple approach to noninvasively identifying significant fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C patients in clinical practice. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. Jul 2006; 40(6): 521-7. PMID 16825935
38. Bourliere M, Penaranda G, Renou C, et al. Validation and comparison of indexes for fibrosis and cirrhosis prediction in chronic hepatitis C patients: proposal for a pragmatic approach classification without liver biopsies. *J Viral Hepat*. Oct 2006; 13(10): 659-70. PMID 16970597
39. Zarski JP, Sturm N, Guechot J, et al. Comparison of nine blood tests and transient elastography for liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C: the ANRS HCEP-23 study. *J Hepatol*. Jan 2012; 56(1): 55-62. PMID 21781944
40. Sebastiani G, Halfon P, Castera L, et al. SAFE biopsy: a validated method for large-scale staging of liver fibrosis in chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology*. Jun 2009; 49(6): 1821-7. PMID 19291784
41. Boursier J, de Ledingham V, Zarski JP, et al. Comparison of eight diagnostic algorithms for liver fibrosis in hepatitis C: new algorithms are more precise and entirely noninvasive. *Hepatology*. Jan 2012; 55(1): 58-67. PMID 21898504
42. Rosenberg WM, Voelker M, Thiel R, et al. Serum markers detect the presence of liver fibrosis: a cohort study. *Gastroenterology*. Dec 2004; 127(6): 1704-13. PMID 15578508

43. Siemens Healthineers. Liver Fibrosis Assays: Enhanced Liver Fibrosis (ELF) Test. 2019. <https://www.siemens-healthineers.com/laboratory-diagnostics/assays-by-diseases-conditions/liver-disease/elf-test>. Accessed September 22, 2023.
44. Younossi ZM, Felix S, Jeffers T, et al. Performance of the Enhanced Liver Fibrosis Test to Estimate Advanced Fibrosis Among Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *JAMA Netw Open*. Sep 01 2021; 4(9): e2123923. PMID 34529067
45. Sterling RK, Lissen E, Clumeck N, et al. Development of a simple noninvasive index to predict significant fibrosis in patients with HIV/HCV coinfection. *Hepatology*. Jun 2006; 43(6): 1317-25. PMID 16729309
46. Vallet-Pichard A, Mallet V, Nalpas B, et al. FIB-4: an inexpensive and accurate marker of fibrosis in HCV infection. comparison with liver biopsy and fibrotest. *Hepatology*. Jul 2007; 46(1): 32-6. PMID 17567829
47. Yan LT, Wang LL, Yao J, et al. Total bile acid-to-cholesterol ratio as a novel noninvasive marker for significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with non-cholestatic chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. Feb 2020; 99(8): e19248. PMID 32080129
48. Cianci N, Subhani M, Hill T, et al. Prognostic non-invasive biomarkers for all-cause mortality in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Hepatol*. May 27 2022; 14(5): 1025-1037. PMID 35721296
49. Sanyal AJ, Harrison SA, Ratziu V, et al. The Natural History of Advanced Fibrosis Due to Nonalcoholic Steatohepatitis: Data From the Simtuzumab Trials. *Hepatology*. Dec 2019; 70(6): 1913-1927. PMID 30993748
50. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD): assessment and management [NG49]. 2016; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng49>. Accessed September 25, 2023.
51. Brener S. Transient Elastography for Assessment of Liver Fibrosis and Steatosis: An Evidence-Based Analysis. *Ont Health Technol Assess Ser*. 2015; 15(18): 1-45. PMID 26664664
52. Bota S, Herkner H, Sporea I, et al. Meta-analysis: ARFI elastography versus transient elastography for the evaluation of liver fibrosis. *Liver Int*. Sep 2013; 33(8): 1138-47. PMID 23859217
53. Chon YE, Choi EH, Song KJ, et al. Performance of transient elastography for the staging of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7(9): e44930. PMID 23049764
54. Friedrich-Rust M, Ong MF, Martens S, et al. Performance of transient elastography for the staging of liver fibrosis: a meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology*. Apr 2008; 134(4): 960-74. PMID 18395077
55. Kwok R, Tse YK, Wong GL, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: non-invasive assessment of non-alcoholic fatty liver disease--the role of transient elastography and plasma cytokeratin-18 fragments. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Feb 2014; 39(3): 254-69. PMID 24308774
56. Poynard T, Morra R, Ingiliz P, et al. Assessment of liver fibrosis: noninvasive means. *Saudi J Gastroenterol*. Oct 2008; 14(4): 163-73. PMID 19568532
57. Poynard T, Ngo Y, Munteanu M, et al. Noninvasive Markers of Hepatic Fibrosis in Chronic Hepatitis B. *Curr Hepat Rep*. Jun 2011; 10(2): 87-97. PMID 21654911
58. Shaheen AA, Wan AF, Myers RP. FibroTest and FibroScan for the prediction of hepatitis C-related fibrosis: a systematic review of diagnostic test accuracy. *Am J Gastroenterol*. Nov 2007; 102(11): 2589-600. PMID 17850410
59. Shi KQ, Tang JZ, Zhu XL, et al. Controlled attenuation parameter for the detection of steatosis severity in chronic liver disease: a meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Jun 2014; 29(6): 1149-58. PMID 24476011
60. Steadman R, Myers RP, Leggett L, et al. A health technology assessment of transient elastography in adult liver disease. *Can J Gastroenterol*. Mar 2013; 27(3): 149-58. PMID 23516679
61. Stebbing J, Farouk L, Panos G, et al. A meta-analysis of transient elastography for the detection of hepatic fibrosis. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. Mar 2010; 44(3): 214-9. PMID 19745758
62. Talwalkar JA, Kurtz DM, Schoenleber SJ, et al. Ultrasound-based transient elastography for the detection of hepatic fibrosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Oct 2007; 5(10): 1214-20. PMID 17916549
63. Tsochatzis EA, Gurusamy KS, Ntaoula S, et al. Elastography for the diagnosis of severity of fibrosis in chronic liver disease: a meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy. *J Hepatol*. Apr 2011; 54(4): 650-9. PMID 21146892

64. Tsochatzis EA, Crossan C, Longworth L, et al. Cost-effectiveness of noninvasive liver fibrosis tests for treatment decisions in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Hepatology*. Sep 2014; 60(3): 832-43. PMID 25043847
65. Xu XY, Wang WS, Zhang QM, et al. Performance of common imaging techniques vs serum biomarkers in assessing fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *World J Clin Cases*. Aug 06 2019; 7(15): 2022-2037. PMID 31423434
66. Cai C, Song X, Chen X, et al. Transient Elastography in Alcoholic Liver Disease and Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2021; 2021: 8859338. PMID 33542909
67. Geng XX, Huang RG, Lin JM, et al. Transient elastography in clinical detection of liver cirrhosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Saudi J Gastroenterol*. 2016; 22(4): 294-303. PMID 27488324
68. Jiang W, Huang S, Teng H, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of point shear wave elastography and transient elastography for staging hepatic fibrosis in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a meta-analysis. *BMJ Open*. Aug 23 2018; 8(8): e021787. PMID 30139901
69. Li Y, Huang YS, Wang ZZ, et al. Systematic review with meta-analysis: the diagnostic accuracy of transient elastography for the staging of liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis B. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Feb 2016; 43(4): 458-69. PMID 26669632
70. Njei B, McCarty TR, Luk J, et al. Use of transient elastography in patients with HIV-HCV coinfection: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Oct 2016; 31(10): 1684-1693. PMID 26952020
71. Pavlov CS, Casazza G, Nikolova D, et al. Transient elastography for diagnosis of stages of hepatic fibrosis and cirrhosis in people with alcoholic liver disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. Jan 22 2015; 1(1): CD010542. PMID 25612182
72. Xu X, Su Y, Song R, et al. Performance of transient elastography assessing fibrosis of single hepatitis B virus infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of a diagnostic test. *Hepatol Int*. Oct 2015; 9(4): 558-66. PMID 26187292
73. Abdel Alem S, Elsharkawy A, El Akel W, et al. Liver stiffness measurements and FIB-4 are predictors of response to sofosbuvir-based treatment regimens in 7256 chronic HCV patients. *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. Oct 2019; 13(10): 1009-1016. PMID 31418303
74. Beyer C, Hutton C, Andersson A, et al. Comparison between magnetic resonance and ultrasound-derived indicators of hepatic steatosis in a pooled NAFLD cohort. *PLoS One*. 2021; 16(4): e0249491. PMID 33793651
75. Imajo K, Tetlow L, Dennis A, et al. Quantitative multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging can aid non-alcoholic steatohepatitis diagnosis in a Japanese cohort. *World J Gastroenterol*. Feb 21 2021; 27(7): 609-623. PMID 33642832
76. McDonald N, Eddowes PJ, Hodson J, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging for quantitation of liver disease: a two-centre cross-sectional observational study. *Sci Rep*. Jun 15 2018; 8(1): 9189. PMID 29907829
77. Jayaswal ANA, Levick C, Selvaraj EA, et al. Prognostic value of multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging, transient elastography and blood-based fibrosis markers in patients with chronic liver disease. *Liver Int*. Dec 2020; 40(12): 3071-3082. PMID 32730664
78. Pavlides M, Banerjee R, Sellwood J, et al. Multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging predicts clinical outcomes in patients with chronic liver disease. *J Hepatol*. Feb 2016; 64(2): 308-315. PMID 26471505
79. Harrison SA, Dennis A, Fiore MM, et al. Utility and variability of three non-invasive liver fibrosis imaging modalities to evaluate efficacy of GR-MD-02 in subjects with NASH and bridging fibrosis during a phase-2 randomized clinical trial. *PLoS One*. 2018; 13(9): e0203054. PMID 30192782
80. Nakajima A, Eguchi Y, Yoneda M, et al. Randomised clinical trial: Pemaifibrate, a novel selective peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α modulator (SPPARM α), versus placebo in patients with non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. Nov 2021; 54(10): 1263-1277. PMID 34528723
81. Jayaswal ANA, Levick C, Collier J, et al. Liver cT 1 decreases following direct-acting antiviral therapy in patients with chronic hepatitis C virus. *Abdom Radiol (NY)*. May 2021; 46(5): 1947-1957. PMID 33247768

82. Janowski K, Shumbayawonda E, Dennis A, et al. Multiparametric MRI as a Noninvasive Monitoring Tool for Children With Autoimmune Hepatitis. *J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr.* Jan 01 2021; 72(1): 108-114. PMID 32925554
83. Arndtz K, Shumbayawonda E, Hodson J, et al. Multiparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Autoimmune Hepatitis, and Prediction of Disease Activity. *Hepatol Commun.* Jun 2021; 5(6): 1009-1020. PMID 34141986
84. Bradley C, Scott RA, Cox E, et al. Short-term changes observed in multiparametric liver MRI following therapy with direct-acting antivirals in chronic hepatitis C virus patients. *Eur Radiol.* Jun 2019; 29(6): 3100-3107. PMID 30506214
85. Heneghan MA, Shumbayawonda E, Dennis A, et al. Quantitative magnetic resonance imaging to aid clinical decision making in autoimmune hepatitis. *EClinicalMedicine.* Apr 2022; 46: 101325. PMID 35340625
86. Guo Y, Parthasarathy S, Goyal P, et al. Magnetic resonance elastography and acoustic radiation force impulse for staging hepatic fibrosis: a meta-analysis. *Abdom Imaging.* Apr 2015; 40(4): 818-34. PMID 24711064
87. Hu X, Qiu L, Liu D, et al. Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse (ARFI) Elastography for non-invasive evaluation of hepatic fibrosis in chronic hepatitis B and C patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Med Ultrason.* Jan 31 2017; 19(1): 23-31. PMID 28180193
88. Lin Y, Li H, Jin C, et al. The diagnostic accuracy of liver fibrosis in non-viral liver diseases using acoustic radiation force impulse elastography: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2020; 15(1): e0227358. PMID 31940395
89. Liu H, Fu J, Hong R, et al. Acoustic Radiation Force Impulse Elastography for the Non-Invasive Evaluation of Hepatic Fibrosis in Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease Patients: A Systematic Review Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One.* 2015; 10(7): e0127782. PMID 26131717
90. Nierhoff J, Chávez Ortiz AA, Herrmann E, et al. The efficiency of acoustic radiation force impulse imaging for the staging of liver fibrosis: a meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol.* Nov 2013; 23(11): 3040-53. PMID 23801420
91. Singh S, Venkatesh SK, Wang Z, et al. Diagnostic performance of magnetic resonance elastography in staging liver fibrosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* Mar 2015; 13(3): 440-451.e6. PMID 25305349
92. Singh S, Venkatesh SK, Loomba R, et al. Magnetic resonance elastography for staging liver fibrosis in non-alcoholic fatty liver disease: a diagnostic accuracy systematic review and individual participant data pooled analysis. *Eur Radiol.* May 2016; 26(5): 1431-40. PMID 26314479
93. Xiao G, Zhu S, Xiao X, et al. Comparison of laboratory tests, ultrasound, or magnetic resonance elastography to detect fibrosis in patients with nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: A meta-analysis. *Hepatology.* Nov 2017; 66(5): 1486-1501. PMID 28586172
94. Kobayashi K, Nakao H, Nishiyama T, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of real-time tissue elastography for the staging of liver fibrosis: a meta-analysis. *Eur Radiol.* Jan 2015; 25(1): 230-8. PMID 25149296
95. Hong H, Li J, Jin Y, et al. Performance of real-time elastography for the staging of hepatic fibrosis: a meta-analysis. *PLoS One.* 2014; 9(12): e115702. PMID 25541695
96. Chalasani N, Younossi Z, Lavine JE, et al. The diagnosis and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease: Practice guidance from the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. *Hepatology.* Jan 2018; 67(1): 328-357. PMID 28714183
97. Kanwal F, Shubrook JH, Adams LA, et al. Clinical Care Pathway for the Risk Stratification and Management of Patients With Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. *Gastroenterology.* Nov 2021; 161(5): 1657-1669. PMID 34602251
98. Rinella ME, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Siddiqui MS, et al. AASLD Practice Guidance on the clinical assessment and management of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *Hepatology.* May 01 2023; 77(5): 1797-1835. PMID 36727674
99. Cusi K, Isaacs S, Barb D, et al. American Association of Clinical Endocrinology Clinical Practice Guideline for the Diagnosis and Management of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease in Primary Care and Endocrinology Clinical Settings: Co-Sponsored by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD). *Endocr Pract.* May 2022; 28(5): 528-562. PMID 35569886
100. Singh S, Muir AJ, Dieterich DT, et al. American Gastroenterological Association Institute Technical Review on the Role of Elastography in Chronic Liver Diseases. *Gastroenterology.* May 2017; 152(6): 1544-1577. PMID 28442120

101. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). Hepatitis B (chronic): diagnosis and management [CG165]. 2017; <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg165>. Accessed September 24, 2023.
102. American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases, Infectious Diseases Society of America. HCV Guidance: Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C. Last updated October 24, 2022; <https://www.hcvguidelines.org>. Accessed September 22, 2023.
103. Bashir MR, Horowitz JM, Kamel IR, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Chronic Liver Disease. *J Am Coll Radiol*. May 2020; 17(5S): S70-S80. PMID 32370979
104. Owens DK, Davidson KW, Krist AH, et al. Screening for Hepatitis C Virus Infection in Adolescents and Adults: US Preventive Services Task Force Recommendation Statement. *JAMA*. Mar 10 2020; 323(10): 970-975. PMID 32119076